

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

MONDAY, THE 17TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 26TH BHADRA 1929

WA.No. 1033 of 2004

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.114/1994 Dated 15/01/2002

.....

APPELLANT: PETITIONERS:

1. MANOHARAN. M., S/O. APPUKUTTY,
MODRIYATH HOUSE, KURUVATHOOR AMSOM,
PARAMPIL DESOM, P.O. PARAMBIL.
2. K. BALAKRISHNAN, S/O. UNNI NAIR,
KOROTH HOUSE, KAKKOOR AMSOM, P.O. KAKKOOR,
VIA.NARIMINDA.
3. P. RAVEENDRAN, S/O. MADHAVAN NAIR,
POOLAKKOOTIL HOUSE, NARIKURI AMSOM,
P.O. NARIKKURI.

BY ADV. SRI.WILSON URMESSE

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
CHIEF SECRETARY, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. BIJU XAVIER, LOWR DIVISION CLERK,
PUBLIC HEALTH CENTRE, ELANJI.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BENNEY GERVACIS

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 17/09/2007,
THE COURT ON 17/09/2007 DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & A.K.BASHEER, JJ.

W.A.No.1033 OF 2004

Dated this the 17th day of September, 2007

JUDGMENT

Radhakrishnan, J

Original petition was preferred by the appellant herein seeking a writ of certiorari to quash Ext.P3 order of reversion and also for a declaration that the petitioners were entitled to be promoted as U.D. Clerks in preference to the seniors who had acquired the test qualifications only subsequently after the occurrence of the vacancy.

2. Second respondent has filed a statement in which it is stated that during the pendency of the Writ Appeal preliminary seniority list of U.D. Clerks was revised by publishing another seniority list and objections were called for. The appellants had also filed their objections which were considered and their seniority had been reassigned in accordance with the same. First appellant Sri.M.Manoharan who was rank No.287 in the preliminary list has now been assigned rank No.265, considering the date of acquisition of

test qualification and the availability of vacancies. The rank of others were also changed accordingly.

3. In the above circumstances, the grievances of the appellants stands redressed. Writ appeal is disposed of with liberty to the appellants to approach the appropriate authority, if they are still aggrieved.

Writ appeal is disposed of as above.

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

jes